



RECEIVED

FEB 19 2004



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20591
Internet: uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/101,833 | 01/29/1999 | YASUJI HIRAMATSU | PM253101 | 1115 |

909 7590 01/29/2003
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP
P.O. BOX 10500
MCLEAN, VA 22102

EXAMINER
EVANS, GEOFFREY S

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1725
DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003

P#29

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED
MAY 03 2004
TC 1700

COPY



RECEIVED

FEB 19 2004



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
 Patent and Trademark Office
 Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
 Washington, D.C. 20231

| | | | |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| APPLICATION NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|

09/01,833

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

p#29

DATE MAILED:

NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT

This application is abandoned in view of:

- ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper response to the Office letter mailed on _____
- ☐ A response (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of _____) was received on _____, which is after the expiration of the period for response (including a total extension of time of _____ month(s)) which expired on _____
- ☐ A proposed response was received on _____ but it does not constitute a proper response to the final rejection.
- (A proper response to a final rejection consists only of: a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; a Notice of Appeal; or the filing of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.62 (FWC).
- ☐ No response has been received.

☒ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance.

- ☐ The issue fee (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of _____) was received on _____
- ☐ The submitted issue fee of \$_____ is insufficient. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$_____.
- ☒ The issue fee has not been received.
- ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file new formal drawings as required in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ Proposed new formal drawings (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of _____) were received on _____.
- ☐ The proposed new formal drawings filed _____ are not acceptable.
- ☐ No proposed new formal drawings have been received.

☐ The express abandonment under 37 CFR 1.62(g) in favor of the FWC application filed on _____.

☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.

☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.54(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.

☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences rendered on _____ and the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.

☐ The reason(s) below:

CONTACT PERSON IS:
 TOM HAWKINS
 305-8390

COPY

RECEIVED
 MAY 03 2004
 TC 1700

Thursday, October 20, 2003

Due Date List By Client


Client: 015837 Advanced Cell Technologies, Inc.

23-Dec-2002 110 23-Dec-2002

Page: 1

| Due Date | Action Due | Client-Matter | Status | App Number | App Date | Resp |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| Indicator | Action Type | Country | | Pat/Reg Number | Iss/Reg Date | Office |
| 23-Dec-2002 | Response w/in 2 mo of final | 015837-0275772 | Transferred | 09/689743 | 13-Oct-2000 | VA |
| Due Date | US-Final Office Action | United States of America | | | | |
| Final: 23-Apr-2003 | | Attorney1: Teskin, Robin L | | Owner(s): | | |
| Client Ref: 23523-0170 | | Attorney2: Hess, Adam R | | INVENTOR(S) | | |
| Inventor(s): | | Attorney3: Cawley, Thomas A | | | | |
| CIBELLI, JOSE | | Attorney4: | | | | |
| WEST, MICHAEL D | | | | | | |
| LANZA, ROBERT | | | | | | |

Title: METHOD OF DIFFERENTIATION OF MORULA OR INNER CELL MASS CELLS AND METHOD OF MAKING LINEAGE-DEFECTIVE EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS



RECEIVED
MAY 03 2004
TC 1700

RECEIVED
FEB 19 2004

